

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,269	12/18/2001	Richard J. Chouinard	ERIE / 73	4951	
26875 7.	590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			NAGPAUL. JYOTI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	-	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>`</i>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/024,269	CHOUINARD ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jyoti Nagpaul	1743				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L	December 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	s			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 45-52 and 58-60 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 45-52 and 58-60 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	ıt(s)		•				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	GB			

Application/Control Number: 10/024,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 45-52 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 52 118390 (Herein '390') in view of Davis.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,269

Art Unit: 1743

5. With respect to Claim 45, 390 discloses an IC wafer transporting container includes a bottom box (2), lid (1), and a rack (Refer to Fig. 1). The bottom box (2) is composed of a base with four walls. (2, Refer to Fig.1)(Line 8, pg. 3 in specification) 390 further discloses a rack (refer to Fig. 5) located in the bottom box (2). The frame of the rack consists of first and second sides (Refer to Figure 1). The opposing ends of the first and second side are connected. The first side includes a first support bar (3), the edge is connected to an inner surface of the first side (8) to cantilever the support bar from the first side (8). The first support bar (3) deflects with respect to the first side (5), and the first opening for supporting one end of a slide. (Refer to Fig. 4) The second side contains a second support bar (3), the edge is connected to an inner surface of the second side (8) to cantilever the second support bar from the first side (8). The second support bar (3) deflects with respect to the second side (5), and a second opening for supporting the opposite end of the slide. (Refer to Fig. 4). 390 further discloses a cover/lid (1) with a projection (18) on the inner surface. The projection (18) includes on rib extending perpendicular to the plane of the slide as shown in Figure 4. The lid is positionable on the bottom box (2) to cause the projection (18) on the cover to press against the slide and deflect the support bars (3) to secure the slide between the rack and the cover (1). (Refer to Fig. 4)

Page 3

6. 390 fails to disclose a similar rack in structure as the claimed invention and of the pair of openings is diamond shaped with two opposed corners of the diamond shape.

(Fig. 4) 390 further fails to disclose substantially planar and opposed first and

Application/Control Number: 10/024,269

Art Unit: 1743

7. Davis discloses a frame (1) for supporting frangible sheet material. (Refer to Fig.

Page 4

- 1) Davis discloses a rack (1) comprising of a frame with first (18) and second sides
- (19). The opposing ends of the rack are connected by a rod (14). The rack (1) includes

a first support bar (17, Figure 2) and a second support bar (Figure 2). The first support

bar comprises of a first opening for supporting one end of a slide (5). The second

support bar comprises of a second opening to support the opposite end of the slide (5,

Figure 2). The frame further comprises of first (13) and second holes (14). The first

and second holes are connected at the ends of the frame in order to facilitate picking up

and carrying the rack.

- 8. With respect to **Claim 46**, 390 and Davis both disclose the first and second support bars angles downward from a respective inner surface toward lower edges of the opposed ends of the frame. The first and second support bars form an acute angle with the respective inner surface. (390, Fig. 4) (Davis, Fig. 1)
- 9. With respect to **Claim 47**, 390 does not specifically teach diamond shaped openings. However, Davis discloses each of the pair of openings is diamond shaped with two opposed corners of the diamond shape. (Fig. 4)
- 10. With respect to **Claims 49 and 50**, 390 discloses a first latch (8) on the base and a second latch (20) on the frame thus on positioning the rack within the base, the first and second portions are placed in juxtaposition to releasabaly secure the rack in the base.
- 11. With respect to **Claim 51**, 390 discloses a projection (18) includes on rib extending perpendicular to the plane of the slide as shown in Figure 4.

Art Unit: 1743

- 12. With respect to **Claim 52**, Davis discloses the frame further comprises of first (13) and second holes (14). The first and second holes are connected at the ends of the frame in order to facilitate picking up and carrying the rack.
- 13. With respect to **Claims 58-60**, Davis discloses the slide case further comprises a bag containing the cover positioned on the base containing the rack and the bag comprises a aluminum vacuum sealed barrier bag.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention by applicant to modify the system of 390 such that the pair of openings is diamond shaped with two opposed corners of the diamond shape as disclosed by Davis it is desirable to minimize contact between the slides and the support bars in order to protect the slides from chipping and thus making it cost effective. It would also have been obvious to on of the ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention by applicant to modify the system of 390 such that the frame further comprises of first (13) and second holes (14) which are connected at the ends of the frame in order to making it easier in picking up and carrying the rack during transportation and thus making it cost effective.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Claim 45, applicant recites "a rack having a frame with substantially planar and opposed end walls" and "a frame having planar end walls with ends rigidly connected to ends of planar side walls", in response to applicant's remark, it

would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to provide rigidly connected end walls to the side walls to the rack of Davis in order to accommodate one size of slides.

With respect to Claim 45, applicant recites "rack is removably positionable with a base having a cover", in response to applicant's remark, the rack of Watanabe is fixed to a box by rivets, and technically this rack is removable if the rivets are removed.

According to In re Dulberg, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961) (The claimed structure, a lipstick holder with a removable cap, was fully met by the prior art except that in the prior art the cap is "press fitted" and therefore not manually removable. The court held that "if it were considered desirable for any reason to obtain access to the end of [the prior art's] holder to which the cap is applied, it would be obvious to make the cap removable for that purpose.")

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/024,269

Art Unit: 1743

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jyoti Nagpaul whose telephone number is 571-272-

1273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 7

Technology Center 1700